

Inertial Mass and Viscosity of Tilted Vortex Lines in Layered Superconductors

A. S. Mel'nikov

*Institute for Physics of Microstructures, Russian Academy of Sciences
603600, Nizhny Novgorod, GSP-105, Russia*

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

The dynamics of tilted vortex lines in Josephson-coupled layered superconductors is considered within the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau theory. The frequency and angular dependences of the complex-valued vortex mobility μ are studied. The components of the viscosity and inertial mass tensors are found to increase essentially for magnetic field orientations close to the layers. For superconducting/normal metal multilayers the frequency (ω) range is shown to exist where the μ^{-1} value depends logarithmically on ω .

PACS numbers: 74.80.Dm, 74.20.De, 74.60.Ge

The inertial mass M and viscosity η are known to be important quantities for characterizing vortex dynamic response in type-II superconductors. Without taking account of pinning effects, the vortex line bending and traction by the superflow the simple phenomenological description of the vortex dynamics in isotropic superconductors (see, e.g. Ref. [1]) is based on the following equation for the flux line velocity $\mathbf{V}$: $M\dot{\mathbf{V}} + \eta\mathbf{V} = \phi_0\mathbf{j}_{tr} \times \mathbf{n}/c$, where $\mathbf{j}_{tr} = \mathbf{j}_0 \exp(i\omega t)$ is the transport current density, $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit vector which points in the magnetic field direction, ϕ_0 is the flux quantum. In the context of many applications (including high-frequency phenomena such as microwave or infrared response) it is convenient to introduce also the complex-valued dynamic mobility $\mu = (i\omega M + \eta)^{-1}$ which may be extended to include both pinning and flux-creep effects [2,3]. For T close to T_c the quantity η may be estimated within the Bardeen-Stephen model or calculated more exactly using the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau (TDGL) theory [4]. The inertial effects may play an essential role not only in high-frequency classical dynamics but also in quantum tunneling phenomena at extremely low temperatures [5]. There exist several contributions to the vortex inertial mass [5–12], i.e., one from the electronic states within the normal core (the electronic contribution M_c), one connected with the electric field energy (M_{em}) and one arising from mechanical stress and strain effects. Note that for isotropic compounds the term M_{em} is much less than the electronic contribution $M_c \sim \hbar^2 N_f$ (N_f is the density of states at the Fermi level).

Recently a great deal of attention has been devoted to the peculiarities of the vortex dynamics in extremely anisotropic high- T_c superconductors which pertain to a larger class of materials including quasi-two dimensional (2D) organic superconductors, chalcogenides and artificial superconducting multilayers. A common feature of these systems is the weak interlayer Josephson coupling which results in a short effective coherence length ξ_c for the order parameter spatial variation along the c direction (perpendicular to the layers). In a broad temperature range the ξ_c value may be much smaller than the interlayer distance D . This fact results in the quasi-2D character of static and dynamic magnetic properties in these compounds. As a consequence, the description of the dissipative and inertial effects in the vortex motion within the anisotropic TDGL theory employing an effective mass tensor [13–16] appears to be adequate only over a limited temperature range ($\xi_c(T) > D$). In this paper we restrict ourselves to the case of weak magnetic fields when the so-called effective cores of neighbouring flux lines do not overlap (see Refs. [17,18]) and study the motion of an isolated vortex line which forms the angle γ with the z axis (c direction) and lies, e.g., in the (xz) plane. It is the purpose of this Letter to report on a calculation of the vortex dynamic mobility for layered superconducting structures in the temperature range $\xi_c(T) \ll D$. Let us consider a stack of thin superconducting (S) films of thickness d separated by insulating (I) or normal metal (N) layers of thickness $D \gg d$. The inertial effects and a viscous drag force acting on a moving flux line are determined by the complicated structure of the effective vortex core [17,18]. For $\tan\gamma < \xi/D$ the effective core size is of the order of the coherence length ξ in the plane (xy) . In the angular domain $D\tan\gamma > \xi$ the effective core consists of 2D core regions connected by the Josephson-like vortex cores which appear for $D\tan\gamma > L_j$, where $L_j = (D\hbar c^2/(8\pi e\lambda_{ab}^2 J_c))^{1/2}$ is the Josephson length, J_c is the interlayer Josephson critical current density, λ_{ab} is the London penetration depth for currents along layers. The characteristic dimension of the 2D core region in the plane (xy) is $a_d = \min[D\tan\gamma, L_j]$. The dimensions of the Josephson-like core along y and z are L_j and D , respectively.

Before presenting the derivation of the vortex dynamic equation, we briefly discuss the main mechanisms responsible for the inertial and dissipative effects in the vortex motion. One may expect that the contributions arising from the regions of 2D pancake normal cores do not change essentially and may be calculated in a manner analogous to the treatment in Refs. [6–10]. The novel specific terms in M and η come from the 2D and Josephson-like core regions. In particular, the simple qualitative arguments show that with an increase in γ the term M_{em} should increase due to the capacitive effects. This term is determined by the spatial distribution of the electric field generated by a moving flux line. Far away from the normal core regions and for rather large distances between the neighbouring 2D pancake vortices ($D \tan \gamma > \xi$) the averaged z component of the electric field E_{zn} between layers n and $n+1$ may be found using the Josephson relation (we take here the gauge $A_z = 0$): $E_{zn} = -\hbar \mathbf{V} \nabla (\theta_n - \theta_{n+1}) / (2eD)$, where $\theta_n(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}(t))$ is the phase of the complex order parameter in the layer n , $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{V}$ is the vortex velocity. At large distances $\tilde{R}_n$ from the 2D vortex center (in the 2D core region) E_{zn} decreases as $\tilde{R}_n^{-1}$ to the distances $\tilde{R}_n \sim a_d$. Such an extremely slow decay of E_{zn} results in the logarithmic divergence of the kinetic energy of the electric field, which is cut off at a large length scale a_d . Thus the contribution proportional to the value $\ln(a_d/\xi)$ should appear in the expression for the inertial mass. This enhancement of the M_{em} value may be significant if the dielectric constant of insulating layers is large. The analogous logarithmic term from the 2D core region contributes to the viscosity coefficient due to the dissipation produced by the interlayer normal currents (see also Ref. [19]). Surely, the influence of these interlayer currents on the scalar electrodynamic potential distribution (and, hence, on the complex-valued dynamic mobility) is most significant for S/N multilayers and temperatures close to T_c . For large angles $\tan \gamma > L_j/D$ the formation of Josephson-like cores results in the additional contributions to the vortex viscosity and mass, which should be linear in the length $D \tan \gamma$. These terms may play an essential role in the vortex dynamics as it follows from the results obtained in Refs. [3,20] for the particular case $\gamma = \pi/2$. Obviously, the Josephson strings parallel to the x axis contribute to the vortex mobility only for a nonzero velocity component V_y . As a consequence, for large tilting angles the vortex mass and viscosity coefficient should depend on the transport current orientation in the plane (xy).

We now continue with the calculation of the viscosity coefficient and the vortex mass within the phenomenological Lawrence-Doniach model [21] generalized for the description of the dynamic phenomena (for T close to T_c). Such a generalization may be written in analogy to the TDGL theory in 3D homogeneous superconductors. In the following treatment we will use the diffusion type TDGL equations which are strictly valid only for gapless superconductors. Nevertheless, we believe the final results to be qualitatively correct for a more general case. Note also that in the TDGL equations given below we neglect the terms connected with the particle-hole asymmetry and responsible for the vortex traction by the superflow [22,23]. If we choose the gauge $A_z = 0$, the equation for the order parameter $\psi_n(\mathbf{r}) = f_n \exp(i\theta_n)$ and the current density (averaged over the periodicity length D) have the form:

$$\tau \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{2ie\varphi_n}{\hbar} \right) \psi_n = \xi^2 \left(\nabla - \frac{2ie}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A}_n \right)^2 \psi_n + \psi_n$$

$$-|\psi_n|^2\psi_n + \frac{\xi^2}{L_j^2}(\psi_{n+1} + \psi_{n-1} - 2\psi_n) \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{j}_{n\parallel} = \frac{\hbar c^2 f_n^2}{8\pi e \lambda_{ab}^2} (\nabla \theta_n - \frac{2e}{\hbar c} \mathbf{A}_n) - \sigma_{ab} (\nabla \varphi_n + \frac{1}{c} \dot{\mathbf{A}}_n), \quad (2)$$

Here φ_n is the electrochemical potential in the n -th layer, σ_{ab} is the normal state conductivity in the layer direction, $\tau = \pi\hbar/(8(T_c - T))$. The interlayer current density is given by the expression:

$$(j_z)_{n,n+1} = J_c f_n f_{n+1} \sin(\tilde{\theta}_{n+1,n}) - \sigma_c D^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}_{n+1,n} \quad (3)$$

where σ_c is the interlayer normal state conductivity, $\tilde{\theta}_{n+1,n} = \theta_{n+1} - \theta_n$, $\tilde{\varphi}_{n+1,n} = \varphi_{n+1} - \varphi_n$. Employing the expressions (2),(3) for S/N multilayers we assume that all the relevant length scales in the plane (xy) are larger than the periodicity length D . As a consequence, our approach is strictly valid only in the limit $\xi \gg D$ [19]. We consider the dynamics of a tilted vortex line in the presence of an applied transport current $\mathbf{J}_n(t)$ flowing along the plane (xy). For simplicity we suggest that the total stack thickness is much smaller than the effective skin depth and the current density $\mathbf{J}_n = \mathbf{J}_{tr}$ does not depend on the layer number n . Considering only straight tilted vortices, we also leave aside the problem of possible vortex line flexures immediately under the surface. According to Ref. [24], for the particular case $D \tan \gamma \ll L_j$ these flexures occur in the boundary layer of the width $L_s \sim \min[\lambda_{ab}, a] D / L_j \ll \lambda_{ab}$, where a is the intervortex spacing. The generalization of the technique discussed below, taking account of the vortex line bending is straightforward (see, e.g., Ref. [4]).

To obtain the equation of motion we use the procedure similar to the one discussed in Refs. [4,19,22] and search for the solution of Eq.(1) in the form:

$$\psi_n = G_n + g_n = G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_n) + g(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_n, t), \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_n = (nDt\gamma, 0)$, $G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n)$ is the order parameter distribution for a static vortex line and g is the small correction which is of the order of $\mathbf{V} = \dot{\mathbf{R}}$. The arguments analogous to the ones used for isotropic type-II superconductors [4] show that in the limit $\lambda_{ab} \gg D$ the magnetic field generated by a moving vortex line may be neglected within the effective core region (which provides the main contribution to the inertial mass and viscosity). The condition $\lambda_{ab} \gg D$ may be easily met in real layered structures, at least, for temperatures close to T_c . As a consequence, in Eqs. (1),(2) we may take into account only the vector potential $\mathbf{A}_n = -4\pi\lambda_{ab}^2 c^{-1} \mathbf{j}_s$ generated by the transport supercurrent density $\mathbf{j}_s$ averaged over the structure period. Substituting the expansion (4) into Eq. (1) and neglecting the higher order terms in V one obtains the equation for the correction g_n . We next multiply this equation by $G_{pn} = \mathbf{p} \nabla G_n$ ($\mathbf{p}$ is an arbitrary translation vector in the plane (xy)) and integrate over the area S of the circle $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n - \mathbf{R}| \leq R_1$ where R_1 meets the condition $R_1 \gg D \tan \gamma$. After simple transformations the real part of the resulting equation reads:

$$\frac{\phi_0}{c} \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{j}_s \times \mathbf{z}_0) = \frac{\eta_0}{\pi} Re \int_S G_{pn}^* (G_{vn} - \frac{2ie}{\hbar} \varphi_n G_n - \dot{g}_n) d^2r, \quad (5)$$

where $\eta_0 = 0.5\sigma_{ab}u\phi_0 H_{c2}c^{-2}$, H_{c2} is the upper critical field for $\gamma = 0$, $u = \hbar c^2/(32\lambda_{ab}^2\sigma_{ab}(T_c - T))$, and $G_{vn} = \mathbf{V} \nabla G_n$. The numerical factor u is determined by

the pair-breaking mechanism (see Refs. [25,26] for details). The term in the left-hand side (l.h.s.) of Eq. (5) corresponds to the Lorentz force acting on a 2D pancake vortex while the right-hand side (r.h.s.) contains the terms responsible for the viscosity and inertial mass. We do not consider the extrinsic forces due to pinning and the interaction with other vortex lines, which add to the l.h.s. of Eq. (5). Let us examine at first the contribution to the integral in the r.h.s. of Eq. (5) which comes from the domain $|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_n| \gg \xi$ (outside the normal cores). In this case one can put $|\psi_n| \simeq 1$. The continuity equation for the layered system reads:

$$\frac{\partial \rho_n}{\partial t} + \text{div} \mathbf{j}_n + \frac{(j_z)_{n,n+1} - (j_z)_{n-1,n}}{D} = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\rho_n = \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi D^2} (2\varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1} - \varphi_{n-1}), \quad (7)$$

where ρ_n is the averaged charge density in the layer n and ε is the high-frequency dielectric constant. We neglect here the difference between the electrochemical and scalar electrodynamic potentials, i.e., assume the Thomas-Fermi screening length to be less than all the relevant length scales. Using Eqs. (1),(6),(7) one obtains:

$$\frac{2e\tau}{\hbar} \Phi_n = \xi^2 \Delta \theta_n + \frac{\xi^2}{L_j^2} (\sin \tilde{\theta}_{n+1,n} - \sin \tilde{\theta}_{n,n-1}) \quad (8)$$

$$\Phi_n = \left(s + \frac{\varepsilon s}{4\pi \sigma_c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) (\varphi_{n+1} + \varphi_{n-1} - 2\varphi_n), \quad (9)$$

where $s = \sigma_c \xi^2 / (u \sigma_{ab} D^2)$ and $\Phi_n = \varphi_n + \hbar \dot{\theta}_n / (2e)$ is the gauge-invariant scalar potential. Note that we assumed $u \gtrsim 1$ which is consistent with the microscopic theory results for gapless superconductors [25,26]. Let us consider the two-term expansion for the order parameter phase: $\theta_n = \theta_{nv} + \chi_n = \theta_v(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_n) + \chi_n$, where $\theta_v(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n)$ is the phase distribution for a static vortex line and χ_n is the first-order in V correction. To solve the system (8),(9) we use here the linear approximation for the interlayer Josephson current density and replace the terms $\sin \tilde{\theta}_{n+1,n}$ by $\tilde{\theta}_{n+1,n}$. The validity of such an approximation was discussed in detail in Ref. [18]. The solution of the system (8),(9) gives us a possibility to evaluate the integral in the r.h.s. of Eq. (5) far away from the normal cores taking account of the expressions $G_{pn} \simeq i(\mathbf{p} \nabla \theta_{nv}) \exp(i\theta_{nv})$, $g_n \simeq i\chi_n \exp(i\theta_{nv})$. Note that the contribution which comes from the normal core region ($|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n - \mathbf{R}| \lesssim \xi$) does not differ essentially from the one obtained previously for a vortex line in isotropic superconductors [4,7]. Finally one obtains the following equation of vortex line motion in the Fourier representation:

$$\mu_x^{-1} V_x(\omega) \mathbf{x}_0 + \mu_y^{-1} V_y(\omega) \mathbf{y}_0 = \frac{\phi_0}{c} \mathbf{J}_{tr}(\omega) \times \mathbf{z}_0, \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{z}_0$ are the unit vectors of the coordinate system. We follow here the treatment in Refs. [1,7] and include both the supercurrent and normal current densities to the driving Lorentz force in the r.h.s. of Eq. (10). The complex-valued dynamic mobilities $\mu_{x,y}$ appear to depend strongly on the parameter s and tilting angle γ . The s parameter may be written in the form: $s = l_c^2 / D^2$, where the length $l_c = \xi \sqrt{\sigma_c / u \sigma_{ab}}$ is the electric field penetration

depth along z . For S/I multilayers one has $l_c \sim \xi_c$ and, as a consequence, $s \ll 1$ in the temperature range considered in this paper. For this limit one obtains:

$$\mu_x^{-1} = [i\omega(M_c + M_{2D}) + \eta_c + \eta_{2D}]D^{-1} \quad (11)$$

$$\mu_y^{-1} = \mu_x^{-1} + (i\omega M_j + \eta_j)\tan\gamma \quad (12)$$

$$M_{2D} = \frac{\hbar^2 \varepsilon}{8e^2 D} \ln(1 + a_d/\xi); \quad \eta_{2D} = \frac{4\pi\sigma_c M_{2D}}{\varepsilon} \quad (13)$$

$$\eta_j \simeq \frac{\sigma_c \phi_0^2}{\pi c^2 D L_j}; \quad M_j = \frac{\varepsilon \eta_j}{4\pi\sigma_c} \quad (14)$$

where $\eta_c = \eta_0 \alpha_1 D$, $M_c = \eta_0 \tau \alpha_2 D$ and α_1, α_2 are the constants of the order unity. The terms η_c and $i\omega M_c$ are connected with the dissipation and inertial effects in normal core domains. The logarithmic terms η_{2D} , M_{2D} in Eqs. (13) may be considered as the contributions to the viscosity and inertial mass of a single 2D pancake vortex. Let us compare the M_{2D} value to the inertial mass M_c of the normal core for high- T_c materials (for the angular domain $\tan\gamma \gg \xi/D$). Considering $Bi - 2:2:1:2$ as an example we take $D \simeq 15\text{\AA}$, $\varepsilon \simeq 10$, $\sigma_{ab}^{-1}(T \sim T_c) \sim 10^{-4}\Omega\text{cm}$, $\xi(T=0) = \xi_0 \simeq 20 - 40\text{\AA}$, $T_c \simeq 80 - 90\text{K}$, $L_j/D \simeq 300 - 1000$ [27] and obtain

$$\frac{M_{2D}}{M_c} \simeq \frac{4\varepsilon\xi_0^2 T_c}{\pi^2 \alpha_2 \hbar u D^2 \sigma_{ab}} \ln \frac{a_d}{\xi} \sim 0.1 \ln \frac{a_d}{\xi}$$

Thus, for $\tan\gamma > L_j/D$ one has $M_{2D} \sim M_c$. This rough estimate suggests that the contribution M_{2D} to the vortex mass of electromagnetic origin may be significant in layered high- T_c superconductors. In the angular domain $D\tan\gamma \gg L_j$ the vortex dynamic mobility depends essentially on the orientation of the current with respect to the in-plane magnetic field component. Such a dependence results from the contribution to μ_y^{-1} , proportional to the length $D\tan\gamma$ of the Josephson string connecting the neighbouring 2D pancake vortices. The terms η_j and M_j correspond to the viscosity and inertial mass per unit length of the Josephson vortex. Note that the expressions (14) are in good agreement with the ones obtained previously in Refs. [3,20] for vortices parallel to the layers.

The normal currents in nonsuperconducting layers lead to the penetration of the field $\mathbf{E}$ generated by a 2D vortex (moving in the plane $z = nD$) at a finite length l_c along z . For S/N multilayers the l_c value may be larger than the structure period D . This fact results in an essential decrease of the potential φ_n in the 2D core regions ($\xi < |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_n| < a_d$). As a consequence, the order parameter phase in these regions satisfies the diffusion type equation (see Eq. (8)), where $D_\theta = \xi^2/\tau$ is the diffusion constant. The characteristic time scale of the phase distortion propagation through the 2D core region is $t_0 \sim a_d^2/D_\theta$. If the frequency ω of the applied ac field is higher than the value $\omega_0 \sim t_0^{-1}$, then the essential time dispersion comes into play and the logarithmic divergence of the mobility coefficient is cut off at a length scale L_ω determined by the ω value. The upper cutoff L_ω is of the order of a diffusion length $L_\omega = \sqrt{D_\theta/\omega}$. The validity of these qualitative arguments is proved by direct calculations. As an example we consider here only the limit $l_c \gg D$ ($s \gg 1$) which is relevant to S/N multilayers, at least, for temperatures close to T_c . We also restrict ourselves to the frequency range $\omega\tau \ll 1$ ($L_\omega \gg \xi$). The complex-valued dynamic mobility has the

form $\mu_{x,y}^{-1} = (1 + i\omega\tau/u)\eta_{x,y}$, where the quantities $M_{x,y} = \tau\eta_{x,y}/u$ and $\eta_{x,y}$ may be considered as the components of the effective mass and viscosity tensors, respectively. Evaluating the integral in Eq. (5) to the logarithmic accuracy one obtains:

$$\eta_x \simeq \eta_0(F + Q/q); \eta_y \simeq \eta_0(F + qQ) \quad (15)$$

$$q = \sqrt{1 + (D\tan\gamma/L_j)^2}; F = \beta_1 + \ln(1 + L_m/\xi) \quad (16)$$

$$Q = \ln\left(1 + \frac{\min[L_\omega, a_d\sqrt{s}]}{\xi + L_m}\right) + \beta_2, \quad (17)$$

where $|\beta_{1,2}| \lesssim 1$, $L_m = \min[a_d, L_\omega]$. The logarithmic in ω terms contribute to the dynamic mobility as well as to the effective mass and viscosity in the frequency range $s^{-1}\xi^2 a_d^{-2} < \omega\tau < 1$. For $\sqrt{s}D\tan\gamma < \xi$ the logarithmic terms in Eqs. (16),(17) are small and the effective mass and viscosity are determined by the dynamic processes in the normal core regions. In the opposite limit ($\sqrt{s}D\tan\gamma > \xi$) these terms are large and the values β_1, β_2 may be neglected in a wide frequency range. The latter conclusion is not valid only for $D\tan\gamma \gg L_j$ and $L_\omega \ll L_j$, when the logarithmic term in Eq. (17) vanishes while the value $\beta_2 \sim -iL_\omega^2/L_j^2$ provides an essential imaginary contribution to the coefficient η_y . As a consequence, the M_y and η_y values introduced above become complex-valued and, hence, in this case it is not adequate to define these quantities as the effective mass and viscosity (otherwise these definitions may be used). For higher frequencies $\omega\tau > 1$ the vortex mobility is completely determined by the order parameter dynamics in the normal core region, which was analysed, e.g., in Ref. [7].

In summary, we have obtained the equation of tilted vortex motion, which may be applicable to various layered systems, including high- T_c copper oxides. The angular dependences of the viscosity coefficient and the inertial mass have been investigated. For S/N multilayers the complicated effective core structure is shown to result in the specific frequency dependence of the complex-valued dynamic mobility even in the frequency range $\omega\tau < 1$. The approach developed above provides a starting point for the study of high-frequency linear response in the mixed state of layered superconductors. For this purpose the vortex dynamic mobility should be certainly extended to include both pinning and flux-creep effects [2,3].

This work was supported, in part, by a fellowship of the International Center for Fundamental Physics in Moscow, Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research (Grant No. 96-02-16993a) and Russian State Program on Condensed Matter Physics (Grant No.95042).

REFERENCES

- [1] J.I.Gittelman and B.Rosenblum, Phys.Rev.Lett. **16**, 734 (1966).
- [2] M.W.Coffey and J.R.Clem, Phys.Rev.Lett. **67**, 386 (1991).
- [3] M.W.Coffey and J.R.Clem, Phys.Rev.B **44**, 6903 (1991).
- [4] L.P.Gor'kov and N.B.Kopnin, Usp.Fiz.Nauk **116**, 413 (1975) [Sov.Phys.Usp. **18**, 496 (1975)].
- [5] G.Blatter, M.V.Feigel'man, V.B.Geshkenbein, A.I.Larkin, and V.M.Vinokur, Rev.Mod.Phys. **66**, 1125 (1994).
- [6] H.Suhl, Phys.Rev.Lett. **14**, 226 (1965).
- [7] M.Yu.Kuprianov and K.K.Likharev, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **68**, 1506 (1975) [Sov.Phys.JETP **41**, 755 (1975)].
- [8] Ji-Min Duan and A.J.Leggett, Phys.Rev.Lett. **68**, 1216 (1992).
- [9] Ji-Min Duan, Phys.Rev.B **48**, 333 (1993).
- [10] E.Šimánek, J.Low Temp.Phys. **100**, 1 (1995).
- [11] Ji-Min Duan and E.Šimánek, Phys.Lett.A **190**, 118 (1994).
- [12] M.W.Coffey, Phys.Rev.B **49**, 9774 (1994).
- [13] V.M.Genkin and A.S.Mel'nikov, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **95**, 2170 (1989) [Sov.Phys.JETP **68(6)**, 1254 (1989)].
- [14] Z.Hao and J.R.Clem, IEEE Trans. Magn. **27**, 1086 (1991).
- [15] M.W.Coffey and Z.Hao, Phys.Rev.B **44**, 5230 (1991).
- [16] B.I.Ivlev and N.B.Kopnin, Europhys.Lett. **15(3)**, 349 (1991).
- [17] D.Feinberg, Physica C **194**, 126 (1992).
- [18] L.N.Bulaevskii, M.Ledvij, and V.G.Kogan, Phys.Rev.B **46**, 366 (1992).
- [19] A.S.Mel'nikov, Phys.Rev.B **53**, 449 (1996).
- [20] J.R.Clem and M.W.Coffey, Phys.Rev.B **42**, 6209 (1990).
- [21] W.E.Lawrence and S.Doniach, in *Proc. of the 12-th International Conference on Low Temperature Physics*, Kyoto 1970, edited by E.Kanda (Keigaku, Tokyo, 1970),p.361.
- [22] A.T.Dorsey, Phys.Rev.B **46**, 8376 (1992).
- [23] N.B.Kopnin, B.I.Ivlev, and V.A.Kalatsky, J.Low Temp.Phys. **90**, 1 (1993).
- [24] E.H.Brandt, Phys.Rev.B **48**, 6699 (1993).
- [25] R.J.Watts-Tobin, Y.Krahenbuhl, and L.Kramer, J.Low Temp.Phys. **42**, 459 (1981).
- [26] L.P.Gor'kov and G.M.Eliashberg, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. **54**, 612 (1968) [Sov.Phys.JETP **27**, 328 (1968)].
- [27] R.Kleiner *et al.*, Phys.Rev.B **50**, 3942 (1994).